

No. 4

July 2008

**Oliver Leonard Kapsner, O.S.B.**  
**Cataloging Bulletin**

e-newsletter of the [Catholic Library Association](#)

ISSN 1940-9702

**RDA Update: Open Letter from Deanna Marcum, and Joint Statement of LC, NLM and NAL on RDA\***

“The three institutions agreed that ...if there is a decision to implement RDA, that the implementation would not occur before the end of 2009.”

\*May 1, 2008

Dear Colleagues,

The Working Group on the Future of Bibliographic Control submitted its final report, *On the Record*, to me on January 9, 2008. I have distributed the document to three groups within the Library of Congress for analysis and comment. I expect to respond formally to the report in early June.

*On the Record* contains more than one hundred recommendations aimed at the Library of Congress, other specific organizations and entities, and to the broader library community. In the words of the members of the Working Group, they envision "a future for bibliographic control that will be collaborative, decentralized, international in scope, and Web-based\*change will happen quickly, and bibliographic control will be dynamic, not static." The group urged the readers of the report to view it as a " 'call to action' that informs and broadens participation in discussion and debate, conveys a sense of urgency, stimulates collaboration, and catalyzes thoughtful and deliberative action." The many recommendations suggest ways in which the necessary systemic change can take place.

When the Library of Congress issues its response, we will be focusing on how it will position itself to work in this new, networked, and collaborative environment, not simply on single recommendations. We recognize that any cataloging code (AACR2 or the proposed Resource Description and Access--RDA) is but a part of this environment.

It may seem counterintuitive that we issue a joint statement with our colleagues from the National Agricultural Library and the National Library of Medicine on RDA before we issue a full response to *On the*

Record , but we do so because the international Joint Steering Committee and the Committee of Principals continue their work, and because so many librarians are asking about the national libraries' plans to implement the proposed code.

We are pleased to report that we three libraries have worked together to establish an approach to the consideration of RDA in the attached joint statement.

We ask that you bear in mind that it is the entire bibliographic system that needs to be considered and reworked, and the cataloging code is only one small piece of the work that lies ahead.

Sincerely,

Deanna B. Marcum  
Associate Librarian for Library Services  
The Library of Congress

Joint Statement of the Library of Congress, the National Library of Medicine, and the National Agricultural Library on Resource Description and Access\*

\*May 1, 2008

Leaders of the Library of Congress (LC), the National Library of Medicine (NLM), and the National Agricultural Library (NAL) met on March 10, 2008 to discuss the recommendation from On the Record: the Report of the Library of Congress Working Group on the Future of Bibliographic Control to suspend work on RDA.

The group agreed that the Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA's work on Resource Description and Access (RDA) is an important international initiative that has been underway for several years and is one that requires continued collaboration with our international partners who have joined with the United States in a global initiative to update bibliographic practices to make the library resources more accessible and useful to users. The participants also agreed that their decisions whether or not to implement this new standard must be made jointly. Further, participants agreed that LC, NLM, and NAL have collective leadership responsibilities to assist the U.S. library and information community to remain relevant and vital in an increasingly digital future. Key to this role is providing a broad assessment and commitment to RDA if they believe this standard will further national strategic goals for improved bibliographic control and access.

Colleagues from NLM and NAL are most concerned that a systematic review of RDA has not yet been possible and, given the potential magnitude and broad impact of the changes, such a review is essential. While draft chapters of RDA have been available, a clear, concise, and cohesive understanding of the overall impact of the entire standard is needed. Until the completion of the rules and the availability of the RDA online tool, reviewers will not be able fully to assess their impact on:

- Description, access, and navigation practices for a broad array of users and types of materials

- Current and future electronic carriers and information management systems to support RDA goals

- Estimated costs for implementation and maintenance during a time of flat, even reduced, budgets

The three national libraries agreed on the following approach: First, we jointly commit to further development and completion of RDA. Second, following its completion, a decision to implement the rules will be based upon the positive evaluation of RDA's utility within the library and information environment, and criteria reflecting the technical, operational, and financial implications of the new code. This will include an articulation of the business case for RDA, including benefits to libraries and end users and cost analyses for retraining staff and re-engineering cataloging processes.

Together, we will:

- Jointly develop milestones for evaluating how we will implement RDA

- Conduct tests of RDA that determine if each milestone has been reached; paying particular attention to the benefits and costs of implementation

- Widely distribute analyses of benefits and costs for review by the U.S. library community

- Consult with the vendor and bibliographic utility communities to address their concerns about RDA

Included among the tests that will be developed to assist in formulating implementation decisions:

- Usability testing with cataloging staff, i.e. librarians and technicians, experienced and newer staff from the three national

libraries in consultation with representatives from the U.S. library community (including OCLC and library vendors) about its participation in the process

--Testing of records for a broad array of materials created during usability studies to determine compatibility with existing record sets and ensuring records are usable and understandable for our end users

--Testing the feasibility of integrating this new cataloging standard into all relevant technology systems

**The three institutions agreed that these steps will be followed and, if there is a decision to implement RDA, that the implementation would not occur before the end of 2009.**

The collective resolve is to complete the development of RDA, to conduct appropriate tests that will inform and involve the broader U.S. library community as to the utility of the code, and to ensure a product that is useful, usable, and cost effective. The Library of Congress will continue to work with its international colleagues on the Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA and the Committee of Principals and keep them apprised of the evaluation progress and outcomes as the three national libraries, representing their constituents, undertake the tests outlined above.

## § SUBJECT CATALOGING NEWS §

**Selected LC subject heading additions and changes taken from the [Library of Congress Subject Headings Weekly Lists 11 \(March 12, 2008\)-23 \(June 4, 2008\)](#)**

KEY            UF = Used for  
                  BT = Broader term  
                  RT = Related term  
                  SA = See also  
                  \* = lines or paragraphs that are CHANGES

*“Changes to existing headings are indicated by an asterisk. (A) indicates proposals that were approved before the editorial meeting. (C) indicates proposals submitted by cooperating libraries.*

*Diacritics and most special characters are not displayed.”*

**N.B.** You may wish to confirm the current state of the authority record by consulting LC’s authority file (<http://authorities.loc.gov/>) or the OCLC authority file—editor.

- (C) 150 African American women in church work [May Subd Geog] [sp2008000933]
  - 550 BT Church work
- (C) 150 Biotechnology--Religious aspects--Buddhism, [Christianity, etc.] [sp2008001023]
  - 155 Christian radio programs [sp2007025568]
    - 555 BT Religious radio programs
  - 155 Christmas radio programs [sp2007025570]
  - 150 Creationism [May Subd Geog] [sp 85033830]
    - \* 550 RT Intelligent design (Teleology)
  - 150 Cultural fusion in church music [May Subd Geog] [sp2008001515]
    - 550 BT Church music
- (C) 130 Dead Sea scrolls-Relation to the Old Testament [sp2007006117]
  - 430 UF Bible. O.T.-Relation to the Dead Sea scrolls
- (C) 150 Divination in the Bible [sp2008000721]
- (C) 150 Ecotheology [May Subd Geog] [sp2008002852]
  - 680 Here are entered works on the movement that views ecological themes, including ecological crises, from a theological perspective. Works on the relationship of humans to the natural environment viewed from a religious standpoint are entered under Human ecology--Religious aspects.
  - 450 UF Eco-theology
  - 550 BT Ecology
  - 550 BT Theology
  - 550 RT Human ecology—Religious aspects
  - 681 Note under Human ecology--Religious aspects
- (C) 150 Hispanic American clergy [May Subd Geog] [sp2008001431]
  - 450 UF Clergy, Hispanic American

- 550 BT Clergy—United States
- 155 Inspirational radio programs [sp2007025616]
  - 680 Here are entered radio programs that are designed to uplift, inspire, or motivate listeners and are often of a religious nature.
  - 455 UF Motivational radio programs
  - 555 RT Religious radio programs
- 150 Intelligent design (Teleology) [sp2002009988]
  - \* 550 RT Creationism
- 150 Marriage—Annulment (Canon law)—Oriental Catholic churches [May Subd Geog] [sp2008000087]
  - 450 UF Marriage—Annulment (Canon law, Oriental)
- 155 Radio sermons [sp2007025561]
  - 455 UF Sermons, Radio
  - 555 BT Religious radio programs
- 150 Religious poetry, Galician [May Subd Geog] [sp2008002236]
  - 450 UF Galician religious poetry
  - 550 BT Galician poetry
- 155 Religious radio programs [sp2007025542]
  - 555 RT Inspirational radio programs
- (C) 150 Sadomasochism—Religious aspects [sp2008003146]
- (C) 150 Success—Religious aspects—Baptists, [Catholic Church, etc.] [sp2008001286]
- 150 Trance—Religious aspects—Christianity [sp2008003784]

**Selected LCC to Dewey classification correlations for subject headings with 053 entries**

N.B. DDC numbers may prescribe extensions from tables. Please consult the Dewey schedule as needed.

TOPIC	LCC	DDC
Equality (topic in Old Test.)	BS1199.E72	221.832
Ethnicity (topic in New Test.)	BS2545.E815	225.95
Trials: Joan of Arc	KJV130.J625	262.92

**Additions and changes from [LC Classification Weekly Lists](#)  
11 (March 12, 2008)-24 (June 11, 2008)**

Psychology

Applied psychology

Counseling psychology. Counseling

Religious aspects. Spirituality

BF636.68

Ethics

Religious ethics

Christian ethics

Special, A-Z

Liberty

BJ1278.L53

Judaism

Sources

Rabbinical literature

Special topics, A-Z

Noah (Biblical figure)

BM496.9.N63

Christianity

History

By period

Modern period

Reformation and Counter-Reformation, 1517-1648

Biography

Individual

Luther, Martin, 1483-1546

Luther's theology

Special topics, A-Z

Faith BR333.5.F33

The Bible

Old Testament

Works about the New Testament

Topics (not otherwise provided for), A-Z

Earth

BS1199.E27

Equality

BS1199.E72

New Testament

Works about the New Testament

Topics (not otherwise provided for), A-Z

Compassion

BS2545.C567

Ethnicity

BS2545.E815

Doctrinal theology

History of specific doctrines and movements. Heresies and schisms

By period

Early to the Reformation, 1517

Gnosticism (Christian)

Nag Hammadi Codices

Individual tractates, A-Z

Marsanes TABLE BS8

BT1392.M37-.M372

Christian denominations

Catholic Church

Other special topics, A-Z

Man-woman relationships

BX1795.M34

Unemployment

BX1795.U54

Woman-man relationships see BX1795.M34

[BX1795.W652]

Religious law in general. Comparative religious law. Jurisprudence

Legal systems compared

Interdisciplinary comparative studies. Religious legal systems compared with other legal systems

Canon law, Roman law, and civil law

Canon law (Occidental) and Roman law

Other authors or titles, A-Z

Tractatus universi iuris, duce, & auspice Gregorio

XIII

KB240.T73

History of canon law - Sources

Collections. Compilations. Selections

Canonical collections of councils and synods

Apostolic councils. Councils of the ancient church. 1st to 3rd centuries [KBR199.8-.9]

General works

KBR199.8

Council of Jerusalem, 45 (48?) TABLE KB9

KBR199.82

Council of Jerusalem, 56 (55?) TABLE KB9

KBR199.83

Council of Rome, 155 TABLE KB9

KBR199.84

Council of Rome, 193 TABLE KB9

KBR199.86

Council of Ephesus, 193 TABLE KB9

KBR199.87

Council of Carthage, 255 TABLE KB9

KBR199.9

Councils (Concilia) and synods from the 4th century to 1054 (Great Schism)

Provincial and national councils and synods - Individual

Council of Carthage, 299	KBR244
Council of Elvira, between 300? and 309?	KBR244.3
Council of Carthage, 311	KBR244.5
Council of Arles, 314	KBR245
Council of Ancyra (Ankara), 314	KBR245.3
Council of Neocaesarea (Niksar), 315	KBR245.5
Council of Antioch (Antakya), 341	KBR246
Council of Serdica (Sofia), 343 or 347?	KBR246.3
Council of Gangra (Cankiri), 340? or 343	KBR246.5
Council of Constantinople, 394	KBR247
Council of Carthage, 398	KBR247.2
Council of Carthage, 419	KBR247.5
Council of Frankfurt, 794	KBR248
Other	[KBR249-260.29]
Council of Tyre, 335	KBR249 335
Council of Sargossa, 380	KBR249 380
Council of Aquileia, 381	KBR249 381
Council of Turin, 401	KBR249 401
Council of Aquileia, 553	KBR249 553
Council of Carthage, 553	KBR249 553a
4th Council of Toledo, 633	KBR249 633

Councils of the late 9th and 10th centuries [KBR261-266]

    General (Collective) KBR261

    Individual [KBR262-264]

        4th Council of Constantinople (Ignatian Council), 869

        TABLE KB9

        Contested by Eastern bishops KBR262

        Council of Union (Photian Council), 879 TABLE KB9

            Contested by Western bishops KBR263

Other councils and synods. By date of opening KBR264 [date]

Provincial and national councils and synods [KBR265-266]

    Council of Aachen, 809 KBR265

    Council of Worms, 868 KBR266

History of canon law

    Trials

        Criminal trials

            [KBR128.5.A-Z]

            Joan of Arc. Jeanne d'Arc

            see KJV130.J625

Law of France

    Trials

        Criminal trials and judicial investigations

Individual trials - By best known (popular) name, A-Z Joan of Arc. Jeanne d'Arc	KJV130.J625
Illuminating of manuscripts and books Histories and reproductions of special illuminated works Special works Other service books. Prayer books (other than above) Special, A-Z Rulle Gradual of Gisela von Kerssenbrock	ND3380.4.R85
Literature (General) Authorship Other special topics, A-Z Religious aspects	PN171.R45
Collections of English literature Drama By period Medieval: Mysteries, miracle plays, moralities Individual plays and cycles, A-Z Pride of life	PR1261.P75

## § THE CATALOGER'S TOOLCHEST §

### **Establishing geographic names...**

The work of the cataloger in establishing authorized forms of geographic names begins by searching the OCLC and/or LC name authorities databases. If an authority record for the geographic entity in question does not exist, the OCLC bibliographic database—or, if the library where you work is not a member of OCLC, the WorldCat bibliographic database—can be searched for the best preponderant form of the name as a basis for establishing the heading. OK, fine—but what if there are also no instances of the heading having been used before? At this point there are two freely available web databases that can serve as a resource:

one for domestic names: Geographic Names Information System (GNIS) located at <http://geonames.usgs.gov>

one for foreign names: GEOnet Names Server (GNS) located at <http://earth-info.nga.mil/gns/html/index.html>

The Library of Congress has provided instructions for the best use of both of these tools at the following location: <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/cpsd/geogname.html>

## CALL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Please consider submitting a contribution to the *Oliver Leonard Kapsner, O.S.B. Cataloging Bulletin*. This could be a theoretical essay relating to cataloging, a practical piece discussing specific cataloging challenges and how they are being met within your library, or even questions posed to which our readers would be invited to respond. Send letters, questions, or possible contributions to the editor, Rob Kusmer. Contact information is found below.

††

*Oliver Leonard Kapsner, O.S.B. Cataloging Bulletin*: e-newsletter of the [Catholic Library Association](#) is a quarterly online publication accessible from the CLA website.

**The editor welcomes inquiries about cataloging from CLA catalogers:**

**Contact the editor:**

<b>Robert Kusmer</b>	<b>574-631-8649 (phone)</b>
<b>123B Hesburgh Library</b>	<b>574-631-6772 (fax)</b>
<b>University of Notre Dame</b>	<b>e-mail <a href="mailto:rkusmer@nd.edu">rkusmer@nd.edu</a></b>
<b>Notre Dame, IN 46556-5629</b>	
<b>U.S.A.</b>	

**Matters concerning online access, contact CLA:**

<b>Catholic Library Association</b>	<b>413-443-2252 (phone)</b>
<b>100 North Street</b>	<b>e-mail <a href="mailto:cla@cathla.org">cla@cathla.org</a></b>
<b>Suite 224</b>	
<b>Pittsfield, MA 01201-5109</b>	
<b>U.S.A.</b>	